

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RALPH MILLER,

No. C 02-2118 MJJ (MEJ)

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES, et  
al,

Defendant(s).

**ORDER CLARIFYING JANUARY 6,  
2006 ORDER VACATING JOINT  
LETTER REQUIREMENT AND FOR  
PARTIES TO RE-FILE ALL PENDING  
DISCOVERY DISPUTES AS FORMAL  
MOTIONS**

On January 6, 2006, the Court vacated the joint letter requirement for discovery disputes in this case and ordered the parties to file motions pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7 for all disputes then pending before it.<sup>1</sup> Doc. #241. Now before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Further Production of Documents and Responses to Interrogatories. Doc. #354. In his Reply in support of his motion (Doc. #389), Miller states that the January 6 Order vacated the Court's earlier discovery orders, and that earlier rulings on the parties' joint letter briefs are not dispositive of any issues now before it. Reply at 6:2-5. The parties are hereby advised that any earlier rulings on the parties' joint letter briefs **remain in effect, including all deadlines established therein**, and failure to comply with said orders could result in the imposition of sanctions.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: April 4, 2006



MARIA-ELENA JAMES  
United States Magistrate Judge

<sup>1</sup>The Court issued this order based on Miller's *pro se* status and the parties' inability to meet and confer in good faith. As Miller is now represented by counsel, the Court later reinstated the joint letter requirement. Doc. #373.